arXiv:1212.5821v1 [quant-ph] 23 Dec 2012

Quantum walks as massless Dirac Fermions in curved Space-Time

Giuseppe Di Molfetta and Fabrice Debbasch
LERMA, UMR 8112, UPMC

(Dated: December 27, 2012)

A particular family of time- and space-dependent discrete-time quantum walks is considered in one dimensional physical space. The continuous limit of these walks is defined through a new procedure and computed in full detail. In this limit, the walks coincide with the propagation of a massless Dirac fermion in an arbitrary gravitational field. Finally, the limiting procedure and the main result itself are carefully discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The first quantum walk was built by Feynman [30] as a possible discretization of the standard, massive Dirac dynamics in flat space-time. General discrete-time quantum walks have then been introduced in the physics literature by [1] and [23] and the continuous-time version first appeared in [17]. Quantum walks are the simplest formal analogues of classical random walks and are important in many fields, ranging from fundamental quantum physics [19, 24] to quantum algorithmics [2, 22], solid state phsyics [3, 6–8] and biophysics [11, 16].

Quantum walks have been realized experimentally, for example as transport of trapped ions [28, 36], of photons in wave guide lattices [24] or optical networks [29] and of atoms in optical lattices [20]. Quantum walk experiments of two photons [25] have recently been performed, with the possibility of simulating Bose or Fermi statistics [27], and cavity QED quantum walks have also been proposed [26].

Following Feynman's idea, several authors have studied the continuous limit of general quantum walks. Most publications [4, 5, 9, 18, 21, 32–34] only envisage quantum walks with constant coefficients. The continuous limit of quantum walks with time-and space-dependent coefficients has been considered only recently, in [13–15]. These references present several families of quantum walks, in both $(1+1)$ or $(1+2)$ space-time dimensions, whose continuous limit is described by a flat space-time Dirac equation with generalized mass term and electromagnetic coupling. The electromagnetic field is generated by the space-time dependence of the angles defining the walks and thus vanishes if these angles are constant.

The other gauge field wich couples naturally to a Dirac spinor is evidently gravity. Yet, quantum walks whose continuous limit are described by Dirac equations in curved space-time have remained elusive. This Letter considers a particular family of discrete-time quantum walks with non constant angles in $(1+1)$ space-time dimensions and associates to this family a continuous limit which is described by a massless Dirac equation in curved space-time. This result opens the way to possible laboratory experiments simulating the propagation of fermions in relativistic gravitational fields. It also establishes a connection between general relativity and all the afore mentioned fields, where quantum walks are useful.

The material is organized as follows. The family of quantum walks considered in this Letter is presented in Section II. The retained limit procedure is presented and implemented in Section III. The differential equation describing this limit is identified as a Dirac equation in curved space-time in Section IV and the result is finally discussed in Section V.

II. FUNDAMENTALS

We consider quantum walks defined over discrete time and discrete one dimensional space, driven by time- and space-dependent quantum coins acting on a two-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The walks are defined by the following finite difference equations, valid for all $(j,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi^L_{j+1,m} \\ \psi^R_{j+1,m} \end{bmatrix} = B\left(\theta_{j,m}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \psi^L_{j,m+1} \\ \psi^R_{j,m-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$B(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & +\cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

The index j labels instants and the index m labels spatial points. For each instant j and each spatial point m, the wave function $\Psi_{jm} = \psi^L_{jm} b_L + \psi^R_{jm} b_R$ has two components ψ^L_{jm} and ψ^R_{jm} on the spin basis (b_L, b_R) and these code for the probability amplitudes of the particle jumping towards the left or towards the right. Note that the spin basis is interpreted as being independent of j and m. The total probability $\pi_j = \sum_m \left(\mid \psi^L_{j,m} \mid^2 + \mid \psi^R_{j,m} \mid^2 \right)$ is independent of j *i.e.* conserved by the walk. The set of angles $\{\theta_{j,m}, (j,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}\}$ defines the walks and is arbitrary.

Consider now, for all $(n,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, the collection $W^n_j = (\Psi_{nj,m})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. This collection represents the state of the quantum walk at 'time' nj. For any given n, the collection $S^n = (W^n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ thus represents the entire history of the quantum walk observed through a stroboscope of 'period' n. The evolution equations for S^n are those linking W^n_{j+1} to W^n_j for all j. These can be deduced from the original evolution equations (1) of the walk, which also coincide with the evolution equations of S^1. In particular, the evolution equations of S^2 read:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^L_{j+2,m} &= c_{j+1,m}\left(c_{j,m+1}\psi^L_{j,m+2} + s_{j,m+1}\psi^R_{j,m}\right)\\ &\quad + s_{j+1,m}\left(s_{j,m-1}\psi^L_{j,m} - c_{j,m-1}\psi^R_{j,m-2}\right), \quad (3)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^R_{j+2,m} &= s_{j+1,m}\left(c_{j,m+1}\psi^L_{j,m+2} + s_{j,m+1}\psi^R_{j,m}\right)\\ &\quad - c_{j+1,m}\left(s_{j,m-1}\psi^L_{j,m} - c_{j,m-1}\psi^R_{j,m-2}\right), \quad (4)\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{jm} = \cos(\theta_{jm})$ and $s_{jm} = \sin(\theta_{jm})$.

III. CONTINUOUS LIMIT

To investigate the continuous limit of S^n, we first introduce a time step Δt and a space step Δx. We then introduce, for $F = \Psi$ and $F = \theta$, a function $\tilde{F}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the number $F_{j,m}$ is the value taken by $\tilde{F}$ at the space-time point $(t_j = j\Delta t, x_m = m\Delta x)$. For example, equation (1) then reads:

$$\begin{bmatrix}\psi^L(t_j + \Delta t, x_m)\\ \psi^R(t_j + \Delta t, x_m)\end{bmatrix} = B\left(\theta(t_j, x_m)\right)\begin{bmatrix}\psi^L(t_j, x_m + \Delta x)\\ \psi^R(t_j, x_m - \Delta x)\end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where the tilde has been dropped on functions to simplify the notation. We now suppose, that the functions can be chosen at least C^2 in both space and time variables for all sufficiently small values of Δt and Δx. The formal continuous limit of S^n is defined as the couple of differential equations obtained from the discrete-time evolution equations defining S^n by letting both Δt and Δx tend to zero. Let us therefore introduce a time-scale τ, a length-scale λ, an infinitesimal ϵ and write $\Delta t = \tau\epsilon$ and $\Delta x = \lambda\epsilon$. The continuous limit of S^n can then be investigated by Taylor expanding in powers of ϵ the discrete equations defining S^n. For the limit to exist, all zeroth order terms must identically cancel each other and the differential equation describing the imit is then obtained by equating to zero the non identically vanishing, lowest order contribution. Consider first S^1, which is identical to the original walk. It is rather obvious that zeroth order terms cancel each other in (5) only if the operator B defining the walk tends to unity as ϵ tends to 0 (see [] for a detailled discussion of this point). The operator B defining the family of walks considered in this Letter does not depend on ϵ and is different from unity for all values of θ. Thus, S^1 does not admit a continuous limit for the family of walks defined by (1). But S^2 on the other hand does. Indeed a straightforward computation delivers the following equations obeyed in this limit by ψ^L and ψ^R:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^L_T - (\cos^2\theta)\psi^L_X + \frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\theta)\psi^R_X &=\\ -\frac{\sin 2\theta}{2}\,\theta_X\,\psi^L + \frac{i}{2}\left(\theta_T - \theta_X(\cos 2\theta)\right)\psi^R&, \quad (6)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^R_T + (\cos^2\theta)\psi^R_X - \frac{i}{2}(\sin 2\theta)\psi^L_X &=\\ +\frac{\sin 2\theta}{2}\,\theta_X\,\psi^R + \frac{i}{2}\left(\theta_T + \theta_X(\cos 2\theta)\right)\psi^L&, \quad (7)\end{aligned}$$

where the subscript T (*resp.* X) indicates a derivative with respect to $T = t/\tau$ (*resp.* $X = x/\lambda$).

In terms of the spinor $\Psi = \psi^L b_L + \psi^R b_R$, these equations read simply

$$\Psi_t + (\cos\theta)P\Psi_x = Q\Psi, \quad (8)$$

where the operators M and R are represented, in the base (b_L, b_R), by the matrices:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix}-\cos\theta & i\sin\theta\\ -i\sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix}-\frac{\sin 2\theta}{2} & \frac{i}{2}\left(\theta_T - \theta_X(\cos 2\theta)\right)\\ \frac{i}{2}\left(\theta_T + \theta_X(\cos 2\theta)\right) & \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2}\end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

IV. DIRAC EQUATION IN CURVED SPACE-TIME

The operator P is self-adjoint and its eigenvalues are -1 and $+1$. Two eigenvectors associated to these eigenvalues are

$$b_- = i\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)b_L - \left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)b_R, \quad (11)$$

$$b_+ = i\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)b_L + \left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)b_R. \quad (12)$$

The family (b_-, b_+) forms an orthonormal basis of the two dimensional spin Hilbert space. Let us now rewrite equation (8) in components, but in this new orthonormal basis. A tedious but straightforward computation leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^-_T - (\cos\theta)\psi^-_X + \frac{\theta_X}{2}\left(\sin\theta\right)\psi^- &= 0\\ \psi^+_T + (\cos\theta)\psi^+_X - \frac{\theta_X}{2}\left(\sin\theta\right)\psi^+ &= 0, \quad (13)\end{aligned}$$

where ψ^- and ψ^- are the components of Ψ in the new basis. This form of the equations makes it easy to check that the continuous dynamics conserves the total probability $\pi(T) = \int_X dX \mid \Psi \mid^2 = \int_x dx \left(\mid \psi^- \mid^2 + \mid \psi^+ \mid^2\right)$, as it should.

Suppose now, to make the discussion definite, that $\cos\theta$ is non negative and introduce in space-time $\{(T, X)\}$ the Lorentzian metric g defined by its covariant componenents

$$(g_{\mu\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -\cos\theta\end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where $(\mu, \nu) \in \{T, X\}^2$. This metric defines the canonical, scalar 'volume' element $\mathcal{D}_g X = \sqrt{-g}\,dX = \sqrt{\cos\theta}\,dX$ in physical 1D X-space, where g is the determinant of the metric components $g_{\mu\nu}$. Dirac spinors are normalized to unity with respect to $\mathcal{D}_g X$, whereas Ψ is normalized to unity with respect to dX. We thus introduce $\Phi = \Psi(\cos\theta)^{-1/4}$ and rewrite the equations of motion (13) in terms of Φ. We obtain:

$$\gamma^a \left[e_a^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g} e_a^\mu\right)\Phi \right] = 0, \qquad (15)$$

where $\mu \in \{T, X\}$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$. The usual $2D$ gamma matrices are:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (16)$$

$$\gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (17)$$

and the e_a^μ are the components of the diad (orthonormal basis) $e_0 = e_T$ and $e_1 = \sqrt{\cos\theta}\, e_X$ on the original coordinate basis (e_T, e_X). Equation (15) is the standard [31] equation of motion for a massless Dirac spinor in $(1+1)$ dimensional space-time with metric g.

Given any coordinate system, the metric describing a arbitrary gravitational field in a $(1+1)$ dimensional space-time can be represented by the matrix of its components $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the so-called coordinate basis. Since this matrix is symmetric, there are only three independent components. But these three components do not represent three degress of freedom for the gravitational field. Indeed, relativistic gravitation is invariant under a change of gauge *i.e.* a change of space-time coordinates; thus space-time coordinates can be chosen in an arbitrary way. Since there are two space-time coordinates, the choice of gauge can be encoded in two of the three independent metric components, and the remaining metric component then represents the single, true degree of freedom of relativistic gravitation in $(1+1)$ dimensional space-times. In particular, in regions of space-time where there is a non-null time-like field, the metric components of any $(1+1)$ dimensional gravitational field can be put under the form (14) by a suitable coordinate choice. In other words, the single angle $\theta(t, x)$ is enough to describe any $(1+1)$ gravitational field in regions where 'there is a time'. This excludes for example the horizons of black holes, but includes the domains outside and inside the horizons.

V. DISCUSSION

We have considered a particular family of quantum walks in one-dimensional physical space and introduced a new procedure which gives a continuous limit to walks from this family. We have computed this limit and proven that it coincides with the propagation of a massless Dirac fermion in an arbitrary gravitational field.

Previous work on the continuous limit of quantum walks does not investigate the possibility of not keeping every step of a walk to build its limit, yet this seems to be the key ingredient to generate an apparent gravitational field. Indeed, retaining all steps for quantum walks on the line necessarily leads to Dirac equations in flat space-time, even for walks defined by time-and space-dependent angles []; the effect of the time- and space dependance is then, not to generate a gravitational field, but to generate an electric field. Also, keeping all steps to define the continuous limit makes it impossible to define this limit for all walks [13, 14]. For example, the family considered in this Letter only has a continuous limit if one retains one step out of every $n = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (the case investigated here corresponds to $n = 2$, $k = 1$) . As a bonus to then being able to define a limit, one obtains a new and interesting limit *i.e.* a massless Dirac fermion coupled to a gravitational field.

The work presented in this Letter should naturally be extended in several directions. One should first investigate systematically all quantum walks on the line defined with a quantum coin acting on a 2D Hilbert space, but try and build limits by keeping only one step out of every $n \geq 2$. One should also extend the main result of this Letter to quantum walks defined on physical space of higher dimension and/or defined by quantum coins acting on a higher dimensional Hilbert space. A particular goal would be to obtain walks whose limits are described by a Dirac fermion coupled to both a gravitational and an electromagnetic field.

The geometry appearing in the continuous limit presented in this Letter is an intrinsic property of the walk itself, not of the 'real', 'physical' space-time which might be used to realize the walk experimentally. Another extension of this work would therefore be to consider walks defined on curved physical spaces (*resp.* graphs), and to investigate how the geometry of the underlying space (*resp.* graph) couples to the intrinsic geometry of the walk. This is not a purely academical problem, since quantum walks can model photon transport in networks of algae, which may have a non trivial geometry.

The main result of this Letter also suggests that concepts from general relativity and diferential geometry may play a key role to understanding the behaviour of quantum walks on graphs and their use in quatum algorithmics. This role should also be investigated thoroughly.

---

[1] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury. Quantum random walks. *Phys. Rev. A*, 48:1687, 1993.

[2] A. Ambainis. Quantum walk algorithm for element distinctness. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 37(1):210–239,

2007.

[3] C. Aslangul. Quantum dynamics of a particle with a spin-dependent velocity. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 38(1):1–16, 2005.

[4] A.J. Bracken, D. Ellinas and I. Smymakis. Free-Dirac-particle evolution as a quantum random walk. *Phys. Rev. A*, 75:022322, 2007.

[5] Ph. Blanchard and M.-O. Hongler. Quantum random walks and piecewise deterministic evolutions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92(12):120601–1–120601–4, 2004.

[6] S. Bose. Quantum communication through an unmodulated spin chain. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91:207901, 2003.

[7] S. Bose. *Contemp. Phys.*, 48:13, 2007.

[8] D. Burgarth. *Quantum state transfer with spin chains.* PhD thesis, University College London, 2006.

[9] C.M. Chandrasekhar, S. Banerjee, and R. Srikanth. Relationship between quantum walks and relativistic quantum mechanics. *Phys. Rev. A*, 81:062340, 2010.

[10] A.M. Childs. On the relationship between continuous- and discrete-time quantum walk. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 294:581–603, 2010.

[11] E. Collini, C.Y. Wong, K.E. Wilk, P.M.G. Curmi, P. Brumer, and G.D. Scholes. *Nature*, 463:644, 2010.

[12] F. Debbasch and C. Chevalier. Relativistic stochastic processes: a review. In O. Descalzi, O.A. Rosso, and H.A. Larrondo, editors, *Proceedings of Medyfinol 2006, Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics and Nonlinear Physics, XV Conference on Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics and Nonlinear Physics, Mar del Plata, Argentina, Dec. 4-8 2006'.*, volume 913 of *A.I.P. Conference Proceedings*, Melville, NY, 2007. American Institute of Physics.

[13] G. Di Molfetta and F. Debbasch. Discrete-time quantum walks: Continuous limit and symmetries. *J.Math.Phys.*, 53:123302, 2012.

[14] F. Debbasch, G. Di Molfetta. Discrete-time quantum walks: Continuous limit in 1 + 1 and 1 + 2 dimension. *J.Comp.Th.Nanosc.*, *to be published*, 2013.

[15] F. Debbasch, G. Di Molfetta, D. Espaze, and V. Foulonneau. Propagation in quantum walks and relativistic diffusions. *Phys. Scr.*, 2012:014044, 2012.

[16] G.S. Engel, T.R. Calhoun, R.L. Read, T.-K. Ahn, T. Manal, Y.-C. Cheng, R.E. Blankenship, and G. R. Fleming. *Nature*, 446:782, 2007.

[17] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann. Quantum computation and decision trees. *Phys. Rev. A*, 58:915, 1998.

[18] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals.* International Series in Pure and Applied Physics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1965.

[19] D. Giulini, E. Joos, C. Kiefer, J. Kupsch, I.-O. Stamatescu, and H.D. Zeh. *Decoherence and the appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[20] M. Karski et al. *Science*, 325:174, 2009.

[21] P.L. Knight, E. Roldàn, and J.E. Sipe. Quantum walk on the line as an interference phenomenon. *Phys. Rev. A*, 68:020301, 2003.

[22] F. Magniez, A. Nayak, J. Roland, and M. Santha. Search via quantum walk. In *Proceedings of the thirty-ninth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, New York, 2007. ACM.

[23] D.A. Meyer. Quantum mechanics of lattice gas automata i. one particle plane waves and potentials. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 85:551, 1996.

[24] H.B. Perets, Y. Lahini, F. Pozzi, M. Sorel, R. Morandotti, and Y. Silberberg. Realization of quantum walks with negligible decoherence in waveguide lattices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100:170506, 2008.

[25] A. Peruzzo et al. *Science*, 329(5998):1500, 2010.

[26] B.C. Sanders, S.D. Bartlett, B. Tregenna, and P.L. Knight. *Phys. Rev. A*, 67:042305, 2003.

[27] L. Sansoni et al. Two-particle bosonic-fermionic quantum walk via 3d integrated photonics, http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5713, 2011.

[28] H. Schmitz et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 103:090504, 2009.

[29] A. Schreiber et al. Photons walking the line. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:050502, 2010.

[30] S.S. Schweber. Feynman and the visualization of space-time processes. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 58:449, 1986.

[31] A. Sinha and R. Roychoudhury. Dirac equation in (1 + 1)-dimensional curved space-time. *Int.J.Th.Phys.*, 33(7):1511–1522, 1994.

[32] F.W. Strauch. Relativistic quantum walks. *Phys. Rev. A*, 73:054302, 2006.

[33] F.W. Strauch. Connecting the discrete- and continuous-time quantum walks. *Phys. Rev. A*, 74:030301, 2006.

[34] F.W. Strauch. Relativistic effects and rigorous limits for discrete-time and continuous-time quantum walks. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48:082102, 2007.

[35] S. Westermann, T. Amthor, A.L. de Oliveira, J. Deiglmayr, M. Reetz-Lamour, and M. Weidemüller. *Eur. Phys. J D*, 40:37, 2006.

[36] F. Zähringer, G. Kirchmair, R. Gerritsma, E. Solano, R. Blatt, and C.F. Roos. Realization of a quantum walk with one and two trapped ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:100503, 2010.